

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/981,603	10/17/2001	Robert F. Dvorak	NBD-48/47181-00259	7891	
23569	7590 06/18/2003				
SQUARE D COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1415 SOUTH ROSELLE ROAD			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BENENSON, BORIS		
PALATINE, IL 60067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2836		
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	<b>3</b>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Department		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner   Botis Benenson   2836						
Boris Benenson  2836  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  BY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  BY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  BY THE PROPERTY OF THE	Offic Action Summary		<u> </u>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Excessions of ten may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1 186(a). In a curret, however, may a reply the timely filed  If the period for reply specified above is less have him? by 00 days, a reply with the satulary period will appear and will reply of the specified for reply vision the state one in the period for reply vision the state one in the period for reply vision the state one in the period for reply vision the state one in the period for reply vision the state of the period for reply vision the state one in the period for reply vision the state one in the period for reply vision to set of reply vision the state one in the period for reply vision, the state of the period for reply vision to reply vision the state of the period for reply vision the state of the period vision of the period of the state of the period vision of the period of the state of the period vision of the communication of the period of the p	. One Action Summary					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Esterators of time rays be available under the provisions of 30 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed because of the rays because of the rays because of the provisions of 30 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed because of the rays because of the provision of the p	The MAII ING DATE of this communication and					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Excessions of the may be available under the provisions of 3 C/R 1 136(a). In to event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 5 C/R 1 136(a). In the event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - In No percol to reply it spatially above, the masterine attaction peach within the statulatory maked within the statulatory peach within the provision of the file o	• •					
2a]  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-11,13-26,28-38.40 and 42-46 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 12,27,39 and 41 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 17/10/01 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) proved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 A</u>	pril 2003 .				
Closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11,13-26,28-38,40 and 42-46 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 12,27,39 and 41 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 17/10/01 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)	<u> </u>	s action is non-final.				
4)						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) 1-11.13-26.28-38.40 and 42-46 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 12.27.39 and 41 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17/10/01 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  **Attachment(s)**  Attachment(s)**  15 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  **Attachment(s)**  16 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	· · · · ·					
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-11,13-26,28-38,40 and 42-46 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-11,13-26,28-38,40 and 42-46 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 12,27,39 and 41 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 17/10/01 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 50 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  50 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.					
6) Claim(s) 1-11,13-26,28-38,40 and 42-46 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 12,27,39 and 41 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 12,27,39 and 41 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17/10/01 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
7)  Claim(s) 12.27.39 and 41 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 17/10/01 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
8	<u></u>					
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17/10/01 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17/10/01 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)□ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17/10/01</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,_ ,_					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Wotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, <del>_</del>					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal				

Art Unit: 2836

#### DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The Applicant convincingly argues that the specification provide enough description of a test signal buffer which acts as a current source for driving a test winding at a center frequency of each of the bandpass filters at Page 10, line 30 through Page 11, line 2 so one of ordinary skill in the art will be able to make or use the invention. The 35 U.S.C. rejections are overcome.
- 2. The Applicant convincingly argues that Haun et al. (6,259,996) does not disclose the microcontroller, placed on the ASIC and therefore 102(a) rejection of independent Claims 1,16 and 28 and dependent on them Claims (2,3,5-7, 10-11, 15-18, 20-23, 25-26, 27-30, 32-35 and 37-38) are overcome.

## Information Disclosure Statement

3. Note that an applicant's duty of disclosure of material and information is not satisfied by presenting a patent examiner with "mountain of largely irrelevant [material] from which he is presumed to have been able, with his expertise and with adequate time, to have found the critical [material]. It ignores the real world conditions under which examiners work." Rohm & Haas Co. v. Crystal Chemical Co., 722 F. 2d 1556, 1573 [220 USPQ 289] (Fed. Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 851 (1984). (Emphasis in original). Patent applicant has a duty not just to disclose pertinent prior art references but to make a disclosure in such way as not to "bury" it within other disclosures of less relevant prior art; See Golden Valley Microwave Foods Inc. v.

Art Unit: 2836

Weaver Popcorn Co. Inc., 24 USPQ2d 1801 (N.D. Ind. 1992); Molins PLC v. Textron Inc., 26 USPQ2d 1889, at 1899 (D.Del. 1992); Penn Yan Boats, Inc. v. Sea Lark Boats, Inc. et al., 175 USPQ 260, at 272 (S.D. F.l. 1972).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3,5-7,10-11,16-18,20-23, 25-26, 28-30, 32-35 and 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun et al. (6,259,996) in view of Dougherty (4,589,052).

Referring to Claims 1,16 and 28, Haun et al. disclose an ARC Fault Detection System, which produce a trip signal for circuit breaker or other interrupting device, detecting arcing faults in an electrical circuit. System include a circuit for "sensing a change in current in said circuit and developing a corresponding input signal, analyzing said input signal to determine the presence of broadband noise in a predetermined range of frequencies, and producing a corresponding output signal and

Art Unit: 2836

processing said current signal and said output signal in a predetermined fashion to determine whether an arcing fault is present in said circuit" (Col.2, Lines 8-14). System includes also a microcontroller running an algorithm for analyzing the output signal for current peaks and current rise time. "To distinguish between normally noisy load currents and arcing currents, the algorithm looks for different levels of (di/dt) broadband noise, high currents, decaying currents and current aspect ratios" (Col.5, Lines 39-43). Haun et al. disclose, "all of the components of the arcing fault circuit detector, the current fault detector circuit and the ground fault detector circuit, as well as some other circuit components to be described later, are provided on an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC)" (Col.3, Lines 39-43). Haun et al. did not disclose an analog circuit for analyzing sensed signals and digital controller are integrated onto single application specific circuit chip. Dougherty teaches a digital I2T pickup, time band and timing control circuits for static trip circuit breakers, where a digital and analog circuitry are integrated in one very large scale integrated circuit (VLSI). Dougherty teaches, "from a cost standpoint it would be highly desirable to incorporate the entire static trip unit circuit within a single chip to facilitate automated processing of the overall breaker

Art Unit: 2836

assembly" (Col.1, Lines 43-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Haun et al. (6,259,996) with teachings of Dougherty (4,589,052), because it will provide a cost savings.

Referring to Claims 2,17 and 29, Haun et al. disclose a "controller including a plurality of counters and wherein said controller increments said plurality of counters in a predetermined fashion in accordance with said input signals and periodically determines whether an arcing fault is present based at least in part on the state of said plurality of counters" (Col.2, Lines 33-37).

Referring to Claims 3,18 and 30, Haun et al. disclose, "the controller increments a plurality of counters, which may be implemented in software, in accordance with the input signals received from the ASIC" (Col.5, Lines 30-32).

Referring to Claim 5,20 and 32, Haun et al. disclose presence of a microcontroller, which implements a software and firmware counters. It is inherence that such microcontroller comprises a microprocessor.

Referring to Claims 6-7,21-23 and 33-35, Haun et al. disclose a broadband noise detector. "The broadband noise detector 24 (Fig.1) comprises first and second band-pass filter circuits 80, 82 which receive the rate of change of current

Art Unit: 2836

signal from the di/dt sensor 16" (Col.3, Lines 54-56). "The threshold detectors 84 and 86 are responsive to those components of the frequency signals passed by the band-pass filters 80 and 82 which are above a predetermined threshold amplitude for producing a corresponding frequency amplitude output to signal conditioning circuits 88 and 90. These circuits 88 and 90 produce a conditioned output signal in a form suitable for input into the microcontroller 40" (Col.4, Lines 3-10). A simultaneous output of circuits 88 and 90 through AND circuit 96 directed to increment a counter in the microcontroller.

Referring to Claims 10-11,25-26 and 37-38, the microcontroller (40) is generating "trip\_signal", which in turn open up the line contact (47) of the circuit interrupter (44). It is inherent that the interrupter latches and requires to be reset for further operations. The use of a buffer (capacitor) in order to accumulate energy and utilize stored energy is routine and should be claimed as an invention.

5. Claims 4,19 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun et al. (6,259,996) in view of Dougherty (4,589,052) as applied to claims 1-3,5-7,10-11,16-18,20-23, 25-26, 28-30, 32-35 and 37-38 above, and further in view of Miller (4,792,899).

Art Unit: 2836

Haun et al. disclose an ARC Fault Detection System. All claim limitations of Claim 1 have been discussed above. Claim 4 adds onboard DC voltage regulator for supplying voltage for all of the analog and digital circuits on the integrated chip. Haun et al. is silent about that subject. Miller teaches microprocessor support integrated circuit that includes a voltage regulator, providing regulated voltage for all digital and analog circuitry on the chip. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to include voltage regulator on the chip because it will provide integrity of output and prevent false occurrence of false signals, especially during power up time or due a "noise" in the power line.

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun et al. (6,259,996) in view of Dougherty (4,589,052) as applied to claims 1-3,5-7,10-11,16-18,20-23, 25-26, 28-30, 32-35 and 37-38 above, and further in view of Lee at al. (5,774,555). As we discussed earlier in reference to Claims 6-7, Haun et al. disclose a broadband noise detector including at least two bandpass filters for different passbands, a set of comparators for monitoring output of the filters and comparing output to a predetermine threshold and a counter. Haun et al. is silent about the design of the filter and a method of synchronizing an output of the comparators with the counter. Lee

Art Unit: 2836

at al. teach a switch capacitor bandpass filter. An output of a switched capacitor band-pass-filter (Fig. 5, Pos 334), after comparison on a level comparator 330 is incremented a counter (332) by ANDing with clock (CK). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to use Lee at al. teachings because use of separately manufactured external filters is much more expensive. Furthermore the filter designed by Lee et al. allow easily synchronize the output with other digital components of the arc fault circuit interrupter. Claims 9, 24,36 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun et al. (6,259,996) in view of Dougherty (4,589,052) as applied to claims 1-3,5-7,10-11,16-18,20-23, 25-26, 28-30, 32-35 and 37-38 above, and further in view of Horie et al. (6,054,887). Haun et al. disclose a circuitry (Fig. 1) including a current fault detector circuit 26 and ground fault sensor 20, which comprises operational amplifiers, but silent about a method of correcting an output with offset values. Haun et al. teach an offset voltage correction circuit for an operational amplifier, including steps for comparing an output voltage from the operational amplifier with a prescribed reference voltage, for storing an offset value and for correcting the offset voltage in the operational amplifier in response to the stored digital signal. It would

Art Unit: 2836

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to use Horie et al. teachings, because it enable the device to correct the output signals.

Referring to Claim 40, it is inherent that above steps are periodically repeated and therefore offset voltage values are periodically updated.

Claim 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun et al. (6,259,996) in view of Dougherty (4,589,052) as applied to claims 1-3,5-7,10-11,16-18,20-23,25-26, 28-30, 32-35 and 37-38 above, and further in view of Inoue (5,784,020). Haun et al. disclose a protection system, which analyzes separately several parameters and produces several analog input channels into a microcontroller for further analyzes. Haun et al. did not disclose a way for converting analog signals into digital form. Inoue teaches an analog-todigital converting device for increasing the number of analog input channels. This device includes a number of the input channels, a multiplexer and A/D converter, which convert an analog signal selected by multiplexer from analog to digital form. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to use teaching of Inoue into design of Haun et al. system, because it allow use of the same A/D converter for converting values of different channels.

Art Unit: 2836

Claims 14,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun et al. (6,259,996) in view of Dougherty (4,589,052) as applied to claims 1-3,5-7,10-11,16-18,20-23, 25-26, 28-30, 32-35 and 37-38 above, and further in view of MacKenzie et al. (5,224,006). Haun et al. disclose an ARC Fault Detection System, which produce a trip signal for circuit breaker or other interrupting device, detecting arcing faults and ground faults in an electrical circuit as it was discussed in reference to Claims 1,16 and 28. Haun et al.doesn't disclose a circuit for forming a dormant oscillator neutral detection system for detecting a grounded neutral. MacKenzie et al. teach an electronic circuit breaker with protection against sputtering ARC faults and ground faults, wherein said ground fault detector means is a dormant oscillator (Claim2). The circuitry of the breaker include two sensors (Fig. 3 Pos. 17 and 19) and a group of operational amplifiers/comparators (65,73,73) for comparing to the reference voltage (Col.4, Lines 8-14), where "neutral-toground faults couple the current sensing coils 17 and 19 to form a feedback loop around the op amp 65 which causes the op amp 65 to oscillate" (Col.4, Lines 25-32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to incorporate MacKenzie et al. teachings to an application

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 09/981,603

Art Unit: 2836

specific integrated circuit, because it enables the ASIC provide full range of circuit protection.

10. Claims 42-46 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun et al. (6,259,996) in view of Dougherty (4,589,052) as applied to claims 1-3,5-7,10-11,16-18,20-23, 25-26, 28-30, 32-35 and 37-38 above, and further in view of Mann et al. (6,185,732). Haun et al. disclose an ARC Fault Detection System, which comprise an application specific integrated circuit, including a micro-controller. Haun et al. doesn't describe a way of testing, monitoring, communicating or debugging internal microprocessor. Mann et al. teach a port installed on a processor-based device with very few pines allowing the processor to communicate through standard JTAG protocol with external processor or PC. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to include Mann et al. teachings, because it will allow easy control, maintenance and troubleshooting of the ASIC and enable to connect all similar devices to a network for remote testing, monitoring and upgrading software in the microprocessor.

### Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 12, 27, 39 and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten

Art Unit: 2836

in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 12, 27, 39 and 41 are allowable because none of the prior art of record disclose an arc fault circuit interruption system that includes a test signal buffer which acts as a current source for driving a test winding at a center frequency of each of the bandpass filters in combination with the other claim limitations.

#### Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris Benenson whose telephone number is (703) 305-6917. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:20-6:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (703) 308-3119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2836

Page 13

Boris Benenson Examiner Art Unit 2836

B.B. June 10, 2003

> GREGORY / TRATLEY, J PRIMARY EXAMINED